STANDARD VII: Data and Program Effectiveness. The program uses current school data, including a formal student/parent/teacher needs assessment which is completed and analyzed at least every three (3) years, and annual data projects as defined by the USOE.

Levels of Performance

School CCGP Team – Circle the boxes or highlight the indicators for your self-evaluation of your program performance.

Review Team – Check the boxes that indicate your evaluation of this program's level of performance.

	4			3					
Indicators		Exemplary level of development and implementation	Fully functioning and operational level of implementation						
Assessment, Use of Data and Program Effectiveness		A formal collection of relevant needs data has been completed at least one school year prior to the site review and in a time frame that allows the results to be addressed within the current program.		A formal collection of relevant needs data has been completed within three years of the on-site review.					
(The purpose of this standard is to give a general overview of needs assessment and needs data findings and annual data		The needs data includes feedback from parents, students, faculty and the administration.		The needs data includes feedback from parents, students, faculty, and the administration.					
projects. Indicators will need to be evaluated after direct services presentations, Standards VIII through XII.)		Needs data addresses CCGP student outcomes, consistent with the Utah Model and appropriate school district, state and national standards and goals.		Needs data addresses CCGP student outcomes, consistent with the Utah Model and appropriate school district, state and national standards and goals.					
Analysis and Implementation		Program goals are developed based on an analysis of the needs data.		Program goals are developed based on an analysis of the needs data.					
		Priorities for strategies and interventions within each component of the Comprehensive Counseling and Guidance Program (e.g., guidance curriculum, individual planning, etc.) are based on an analysis of the needs data and school data. (Evaluate this item following Direct Services presentation.)		Priorities for strategies and interventions within each component of the Comprehensive Counseling and Guidance Program (e.g., guidance curriculum, individual planning, etc.) are based on an analysis of the needs data.					
		Data is disaggregated by gender, race/ethnicity/culture, socioeconomic status, or other identified school populations.		Data is disaggregated by gender, race/ethnicity/culture, socioeconomic status, or other identified school populations.					
Data Projects and Self-Evaluation for Program Effectiveness		Effective data projects for guidance activities and "closing the gap" have been designed and implemented annually.		Effective data projects for guidance activities and "closing the gap" have been designed and implemented annually.					
		Data that measures program effectiveness for at least two annual projects has been collected and analyzed, and contributes to the desired results for student learning as identified in the school improvement plan.		Data that measures program effectiveness for at least two annual projects has been collected and analyzed.					
Professional Obligations/Leadership		Timely annual presentations on data projects have been made to school faculty.		Annual presentations on data projects have been made to the school faculty.					
		Documentation is provided for timely submission of the annual self-evaluation.		Documentation is provided for submission of the annual self-evaluation.					
		Documentation is provided for participation in district/regional interim review of data projects.		Documentation is provided for participation in district/regional interim review of data projects.					
		CCGP contributed to state-wide accountability by participation in the most recent CCGP evaluation.		CCGP contributed to state-wide accountability by participation in the most recent CCGP evaluation.					
American Indian/Alaska Native Students		AI/AN students are recognized either by self- identification or through collaboration with district Title VII personnel and tribal education leaders. Identification of AI/AN students includes tribal and/or village affiliation.		AI/AN students are recognized either by self- identification or through collaboration with district Title VII personnel and tribal education leaders. Identification of AI/AN students includes tribal and/or village affiliation.					
(See American Indian/Alaskan Native Sovereignty Policy statement on pages 5 and 6 of the Protocol.)		Formal collection of needs data for AI/AN students includes progress to graduation, involvement of parent, guardian, or significant adult.		Formal collection of needs data for AI/AN students includes progress to graduation, involvement of parent, guardian or significant adult.					
		School or district-wide data projects for guidance activities and "closing the gap" have been effectively implemented for AI/AN student populations equal to or greater than 10, with at least one project every three years.		School or district-wide data projects for guidance activities and "closing the gap" have been appropriately implemented for AI/AN student populations equal to or greater than 10, with at least one project every three years.					

School CCGP Team - Circle boxes for self-evaluation and provide information as required in other standards on page 38.

	Overall rating for th	nis sta	ndard: 4 3 2		1	0						
Levels of Performance												
	2 Limited development and/or partial implementation		1 Low level of development and implementation	No	evidence	0 of developm	ent or implementation					
	Needs data is either outdated or too recent to have been implemented in the current program.		Needs data fails to address student competencies with appropriate school, district, state, and national standards.		collect		e of an effort to to student guidance evelopment.					
	The needs data is based on an incomplete sample of data from parents, students, and faculty.		The needs data is based on an incomplete sample of data from parents, students, and faculty and/or fails to include data from one of these sample groups.		☐ There is no needs data collection.							
	The needs data partially addresses CCGP student competencies with appropriate school, district, state, and national standards.		The needs data does not address CCGP student competencies with appropriate school, district, state, and national standards.		☐ The needs data does not addresses CC student competencies with appropriate school, district, state, and national standards.							
	There is some evidence that strategies and interventions within each component of the guidance program (e.g., guidance curriculum, individual planning, etc.) are passed on an analysis of the needs data.		There is limited evidence that strategies and interventions within each component of the guidance program (e.g., guidance curriculum, individual planning, etc.) are based on an analysis of the needs data.		interve the gui curricu	ntions within dance progra llum, individu	te that strategies and a each component of m (e.g., guidance hal planning, etc.) are s of the needs data.					
	Annual data projects have been developed, but no effort has been made to measure program effectiveness.		Some data projects have been developed, but efforts to measure program effectiveness are not adequate.			erent effective een develope	eness data or projects d.					
	Occasional presentations on data projects		No presentations on data projects have been				n data projects have					
	have been made to the school faculty. No documentation is provided for submission of the annual self-evaluation.		made to the school faculty. No documentation is provided for submission of the annual self-evaluation.				ol taculty. is provided for nnual self-evaluation.					
	Documentation is provided for occasional participation in district/regional interim		No documentation is provided for participation in district/regional interim review of data projects.		No do	cumentation : pation in distr	is provided for rict/regional interim					
	accountability by participation in the most recent CCGP evaluation.		CCGP did not contribute to state-wide accountability by participation in the most recent CCGP evaluation.	٥	review of data projects. CCGP did not contribute to state-wide accountability by participation in the mos recent CCGP evaluation.							
	Some AI/AN students are recognized either by self-identification or through collaboration with district Title VII personnel and tribal education leaders.		No AI/AN students are recognized either by self- identification or through collaboration with district Title VII personnel and tribal education leaders.		by self- collabo	-identification	s are recognized either n or through listrict and tribal					
	☐ Some formal collection of needs data for AI/AN students has been attempted. Some attempt has been made to include supportive AI/AN adults with advisory/steering committee.		No formal collection of needs data for AI/AN students has been attempted. Supportive adults are not included.	*			No formal collection of needs data for AI/AN has been attempted.					
	No school- or district-wide data projects for guidance activities and "closing the gap" have been implemented for AI/AN students within the past three years.		No school- or district-wide data projects for guidance activities and "closing the gap" have been implemented for AI/AN students.		for gui	dance activiti	ct-wide data projects es and "closing the or AI/AN students.					

Review Team – Check the boxes that indicate your evaluation of the program's level of performance and provide information as required on page 39.

Indirect Services 23 January 2008